



University of California
Office of the President

Senior Vice President—
Business and Finance

Research Administration Office

Memo

Operating Guidance

No. 98-10, Supplement 6
June 6, 2005

CONTRACT AND GRANT OFFICERS

Subject: Subject: California Environment Protection Agency Interagency Master Agreement No. 98-004,
Amendment No. 7

This memo transmits a copy of the subject Amendment No. 7 which extends this Master Agreement until June 30, 2006 and adds \$75,000 more in funding for this Master Agreement.

All other terms and conditions remain the same.

Refer: Samuela A. Evans
Samuela.Evans@ucop.edu
(510) 987-9849

Subject Index: 02, 22
Organization Index: S-097


Samuela A. Evans
Contract and Grant Officer

Enclosure

STANDARD AGREEMENT AMENDMENT

STD. 213 A (Rev 6/03)

☒ CHECK HERE IF ADDITIONAL PAGES ARE ATTACHED 2 Pages

AGREEMENT NUMBER

98-004

AMENDMENT NUMBER

7

REGISTRATION NUMBER:

1. This Agreement is entered into between the State Agency and Contractor named below:

STATE AGENCY'S NAME

California Environmental Protection Agency

CONTRACTOR'S NAME

Regents of the University of California

2. The term of this

Agreement is July 1, 1998 through June 30, 2006

3. The maximum amount of this \$3,617,871.00

Agreement after this amendment is: (Three million six hundred seventy-two thousand eight hundred seventy-one dollars and no cents)

4. The parties mutually agree to this amendment as follows. All actions noted below are by this reference made a part of the Agreement and incorporated herein:

A. Extend the term of the agreement through June 30, 2006.

B. Increase the contract amount by \$75,000.

C. Add the attached Exhibit F, Mechanisms for Identifying, Screening and Approving Scientific Experts for Peer Review.

All other terms and conditions shall remain the same.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, this Agreement has been executed by the parties hereto.

CONTRACTOR

CONTRACTOR'S NAME (If other than an individual, state whether a corporation, partnership, etc.)

Regents of the University of California

BY (Authorized Signature)

Samuel A. Evans

DATE SIGNED (Do not type)

3/23/05

PRINTED NAME AND TITLE OF PERSON SIGNING

ADDRESS

1111 Franklin Street, 7th Floor
Oakland, CA 94607-5200

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

AGENCY NAME

California Environmental Protection Agency

BY (Authorized Signature)

Donald E. Owen, Jr.

DATE SIGNED (Do not type)

3/30/2005

PRINTED NAME AND TITLE OF PERSON SIGNING

Donald E. Owen, Jr., Assistant Secretary
Fiscal and Administrative Programs

ADDRESS

P. O. Box 2815
Sacramento, CA 95812CALIFORNIA
Department of General Services
Use Only

APPROVED

APR 29 2005

DEPT OF GENERAL SERVICES

Amthi

MECHANISMS FOR IDENTIFYING, SCREENING AND APPROVING SCIENTIFIC EXPERTS FOR PEER REVIEW

The mechanisms described herein are developed and implemented pursuant to Section 3.B. of Exhibit B: An Overview of the Work Plan.

1. The University agrees to provide the Agency with the following services related to peer review requests:
 - A. The University shall identify faculty, staff and other individuals having specialized knowledge and expertise who are willing to serve as peer reviewers for Agency. Potential reviewers are not solely limited to employees or associates of the University of California and may include individuals from the California State University, other public and private universities and colleges, and research institutions.
 - B. The University shall establish an ad hoc advisory committee comprised of individuals with appropriate scientific expertise and knowledge of the peer review process to assist the Office of the President in identifying qualified reviewers.
 - C. Working with the Agency, and the ad hoc advisory committee, the University shall screen experts to ensure that they have appropriate scientific expertise that will allow them to address the scientific underpinnings of the agency documents that are the subject of the peer review.
 - D. The University shall administer as part of the screening process the completion of and review of a form used for obtaining background information and conflict of interest disclosure from potential reviewers. The University may consult with the Agency regarding issues or concerns during this screening process.
 - E. The University shall review draft task orders accompanying peer review requests for clarity and general adherence to the Agreement and University standards.
 - F. After completing the screening process, the University shall provide name of peer reviewers to the Agency in accordance with the Agreement and information and/or instructions in the peer review requests received from the Agency.
2. The University normally shall provide a list of reviewers within 90 days of receiving from the Agency a peer review request that includes the following:
 - A. The names of three or more potential reviewers.
 - B. A description of the expertise and/or specialized knowledge needed for the review.

- C. A list of documents to be reviewed, and the persons along with their affiliations who contributed to the documents.
 - D. A draft Task Order that includes a scope of work and issues and/or questions for the peer reviewers to address.
 - E. Any other information the Agency has that it deems to be of potential relevance to screening potential reviewers, including information about parties to pending legal matters or controversies related to the subject of the Agency documents to be reviewed.
3. The Agency will provide the University by June 30 of each year a list of anticipated peer review requests for the next twelve months so that the Agency and the University can prioritize the workload as necessary. The Agency and University understand that additional peer review requests may be necessary due to unforeseen legislative, administrative or other factors. The Agency will notify the University immediately upon identifying additional peer review needs.
4. The Agency will pay the University a fixed price of \$75,000 by June 30 of each year to administer scientific peer review requests for the next 12 months pursuant to the mechanisms described herein. This fixed price does not cover peer review work performed by reviewers. Separate task orders will continue to be issued by the Agency to individual campuses for peer reviews conducted
5. Upon receiving and reviewing a peer review request from the Agency, the mechanisms herein do not preclude the University from choosing not to administer the peer review request should the request not adhere to the Agreement and University standards. In such instance, the University will provide the Agency with written explanation of why the request was not accepted.

beginning June 30, 2004

